Towards a realization of the k-associahedron? Multitriangulations and rigidity

Francisco Santos (jt. with Luis Crespo)

Departamento de Matemáticas, Estadística y Computación Universidad de Cantabria, Spain <http://personales.unican.es/santosf>

January 23, 2024 "DAM-network@RSME2024" Pamplona

[Multitriangulations](#page-1-0)
 [Multitriangulations and rigidity](#page-47-0)
 ~ 00000000000 ~ 00000000000 ~ 00000000000 ~ 00000000000

Multitriangulations

Triangulations

Consider *n* points in convex position in the plane, labeled $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ in cyclical order.

A triangulation of the *n*-gon is a maximal straightline graph on them with no crossings.

Triangulations

Many nice properties:

- All triangulations have the same number of edges (2*n* − 3) and triangles $(n-2)$.
- They are counted by Catalan numbers.
- They can all be constructed iteratively adding "ears" to a triangle.
- They can be connected by flips, forming (the graph of) a polytope (the associahedron).

Triangulations

Many nice properties:

- All triangulations have the same number of edges (2*n* − 3) and triangles $(n-2)$.
- They are counted by Catalan numbers.
- They can all be constructed iteratively adding "ears" to a triangle.
- They can be connected by flips, forming (the graph of) a polytope (the associahedron).

Triangulations

Two edges \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A} cross if the corresponding open segments \mathcal{A}

k -crossings of k Let k and [n](#page-32-0) [b](#page-33-0)[e](#page-34-0) [t](#page-36-0)[w](#page-39-0)[o](#page-40-0) [i](#page-41-0)[n](#page-42-0)[t](#page-43-0)egers with n ≥ 2k + 1. Let En be the set of the edges of the complete graph on Vn.

Definition

A *k*-crossing is a set of *k* edges in $\binom{[n]}{2}$ that mutually cross. \mathcal{A} subset of \mathcal{A} subset of \mathcal{A} mutually intersecting edges. $\mathbf{C} \left(\mathbf{K} \right)$

A 4-CROSSING

Remark: The definition is purely combinatorial. A *k*-crossing is a set $\{\{i_1, j_1\}, \ldots, \{i_k, j_k\}\} \subset \binom{[n]}{2}$ of k edges with

 $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots i_k < i_1 < \cdots < i_k < i_1$ (cyclically).

k-triangulations

A k -triangulation is a maximal graph with no $(k + 1)$ -crossings.

A 2-TRIANGULATION OF THE 12-GON

k-triangulations

Two easy constructions

[Multitriangulations](#page-1-0)
 [Multitriangulations and rigidity](#page-47-0)
 $\begin{array}{ccc}\n & \text{Rigidity} \\
 \text{0000000000} & \text{000000000} \\
 \text{000000000}\n \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ccc}\n & \text{Rigidity} \\
 \text{0000000000} & \text{000000000} \\
 \text{000000000}\n \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ccc}\n & \text{Rigidity} \\
 \text{0000000000} & \text{000000000} \\
 \text{000000000}\n \end{array}$

k-triangulations

Two easy constructions

k-triangulations

Theorem (Capoyleas-Pach 1992, Nakamigawa 2000, Dress-Moulton-Koolen 2002)

All k -triangulations of the n-gon have the same number of edges, equal to $2kn - \binom{2k+1}{2}$. *Moreover, they are connected by "flips" (operations that remove an edge ans insert another).*

k-associahedron

Is there a "polytope of *k*-triangulations" of the *n*-gon?

The associahedron as a simplicial complex

Asso(*n*) = the simplicial complex with vertices the $\binom{n}{2}$ diagonals of the *n*-gon and having as faces the the crossing-free sets of diagonals. $=$ clique complex of the crossing relation among the $\binom{n}{2}$ diagonals.

 $\text{Vertices} = \binom{[n]}{2} = \{ \{i, j\} : 1 \le i < j \le n \}$

Maximal faces ("facets") = triangulations of the *n*-gon.

Minimal non-faces = crossings.

The associahedron as a simplicial complex

Asso(*n*) = the simplicial complex with vertices the $\binom{n}{2}$ diagonals of the *n*-gon and having as faces the the crossing-free sets of diagonals. $=$ clique complex of the crossing relation among the $\binom{n}{2}$ diagonals.

Vertices =
$$
\binom{[n]}{2}
$$
 = { $\{i, j\}$: $1 \le i < j \le n\}$

Maximal faces ("facets") = triangulations of the *n*-gon.

Minimal non-faces = crossings.

The associahedron as a simplicial complex

Remark: the "irrelevant edges" $\{i, i + 1\}$ are not shown in the complex. Formally, we distinguish between Asso (n) , with ${n \choose 2}$ vertices and dimension 2*n* − 4, and *Asso*(*n*), with $\binom{n}{2}$ – *n* vertices and dimension $n - 4$.

Theorem (Tamari-Stasheff-Milnor-Haiman, Lee 1989)

Asso(*n*) *is a polytopal* (*n* − 4)*-sphere. That is, there is a simplicial* (*n* − 3)*-polytope with face poset isomorphic to it.*

The 3-dimensional (simplicial) associahedron

 $n = 6$: $\overline{Assoc}(6)$ is a 2-sphere with 9-vertices, 21 edges, and 14 triangles.

The *k*-associahedron

DEFINITION: $\text{Asso}_k(n) =$ the simplicial complex with vertices the $\binom{n}{2}$ diagonals of the *n*-gon and whose faces are the sets of diagonals containing no $(k + 1)$ -crossing.

 $Asso_k(n)$ =the subcomplex induced by the relevant edges (edges of length greater than *k*).

Maximal faces = *k*-triangulations of the *n*-gon. Minimal non-faces = $(k + 1)$ -crossings.

Theorem (Jonsson 2003)

 $\overline{Asso}_k(n)$ *is a shellable sphere of dimension* $k(n-2k-1)-1$

The main conjecture

Conjecture 1 (Folklore?, Jonsson?)

The shellable sphere Asso*^k* (*n*) is polytopal.

That is, there is a simplicial polytope of dimension *k*(*n* − 2*k* − 1) with face poset isomorphic to the inclusion poset of subsets of diagonals of the *n*-gon not containing a $k + 1$ -crossing.

- \bullet True for $n \leq 2k + 3$.
- \bullet True for $(k, n) = (2, 8)$ (Bokowski and Pilaud, 2009)
- \bullet True for $(2, 9)$, $(2, 10)$, $(3, 10)$ (Crespo-S. 2023+, this talk).

The main conjecture

Conjecture 1 (Folklore?, Jonsson?)

The shellable sphere Asso*^k* (*n*) is polytopal.

That is, there is a simplicial polytope of dimension *k*(*n* − 2*k* − 1) with face poset isomorphic to the inclusion poset of subsets of diagonals of the *n*-gon not containing a $k + 1$ -crossing.

- \bullet True for $n \leq 2k + 3$.
- \bullet True for $(k, n) = (2, 8)$ (Bokowski and Pilaud, 2009)
- \bullet True for $(2, 9)$, $(2, 10)$, $(3, 10)$ (Crespo-S. 2023+, this talk).

A weaker conjecture

Conjecture 1'

The shellable sphere Asso*^k* (*n*) is geodesic (a.k.a. star-convex).

That is, there is a **complete simplicial fan** of dimension *k*(*n* − 2*k* − 1) with face poset isomorphic to the inclusion poset of subsets of diagonals of the *n*-gon not containing a $k + 1$ -crossing.

The weaker conjecture holds for

- \bullet *n* \leq 2*k* + 4 (Bergeron-Ceballos-Labbé, 2015)
- $k = 2$ and $n < 13$ (Manneville 2017).
- \bullet (3, 11) and (4, 13) (Crespo-S. 2023+, this talk).

This includes every (k, n) with $n \leq 13$ except $(3, 12)$ and $(3, 13)$

[Multitriangulations](#page-1-0)
 [Multitriangulations and rigidity](#page-47-0)
 $\begin{array}{r} \text{Nigidity} \\ \text{0000000000} \end{array}$

A weaker conjecture

Conjecture 1'

The shellable sphere Asso*^k* (*n*) is geodesic (a.k.a. star-convex).

That is, there is a **complete simplicial fan** of dimension *k*(*n* − 2*k* − 1) with face poset isomorphic to the inclusion poset of subsets of diagonals of the *n*-gon not containing a $k + 1$ -crossing.

The weaker conjecture holds for

- \bullet *n* \leq 2*k* + 4 (Bergeron-Ceballos-Labbé, 2015)
- $k = 2$ and $n < 13$ (Manneville 2017).
- \bullet (3, 11) and (4, 13) (Crespo-S. 2023+, this talk).

This includes every (k, n) with $n \leq 13$ except $(3, 12)$ and $(3, 13)$

[Multitriangulations](#page-1-0) **Exercise Community Community** [Rigidity](#page-31-0) [Multitriangulations and rigidity](#page-47-0) coopoopoop Multitriangulations and rigidity coopoopoopus community community community community community community community co

Rigidity

Bar-and-joint (infinitesimal) rigidity

Let $\mathbf{p} = \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be points and let $G = ([n], E)$ be a graph. We call the pair (*G*, **p**) a framework.

The framework is (infinitesimally) flexible if there is a non-trivial assignment of velocities $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ to the points that maintains all distances in the graph. That is,

$$
\langle v_i - v_j, p_i - p_j \rangle = 0 \quad \text{ for every } \{i, j\} \in E.
$$

If this does not happen, we say (*G*, **p**) is (infinitesimally) rigid.

Theorem (Maxwell?)

Suppose that **p** *affinely spans* R *d . Then rigid frameworks on* **p** *are the* spanning sets of rows of a matrix of size $\binom{n}{2}$ × nd and rank nd – $\binom{d+1}{2}$.

The rigidity matrix

$$
R(\mathbf{p}) := \begin{pmatrix} p_1 - p_2 & p_2 - p_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ p_1 - p_3 & 0 & p_3 - p_1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ p_1 - p_n & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & p_n - p_1 \\ 0 & p_2 - p_3 & p_3 - p_2 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & p_{n-1} - p_n & p_n - p_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.
$$

This in particular defines the rigidity matroid $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{p})$ of **p**, with $\binom{n}{2}$ elements and rank $nd - \binom{d+1}{2}$.

A numerical coincidence

If we let $d = 2k$ then the rank of the rigidity matrix equals

$$
2nk - \binom{2k+1}{2} = \text{size of every } k\text{-triangulation}.
$$

This led us to conjecture

Conjecture 2 (Pilaud-S. 2009)

k-triangulations are bases in the rigidity matroid for some (hence for any generic) choice of points $\mathbf{p} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2k}$.

[Multitriangulations](#page-1-0) and rigidity **[Rigidity](#page-31-0) Rigidity Rigidity** and *Rigidity* and *Ri*

Relation btw. Conjectures 1 and 2

If *k*-triangulations are rigidity bases (Conjecture 2) then the rows of *R*(**p**) (for a valid **p**) provide a vector configuration in which every *k*-triangulation spans a simplicial cone of the right dimension.

This configuration might be the set of normal vectors of a simplicial fan realizing $Asso_k(n)$ (\Rightarrow Conjecture 1').

Hopefully, the fan is polytopal (\Rightarrow Conjecture 1).

[Multitriangulations](#page-1-0) **Exercise Constructions [Rigidity](#page-31-0)** [Multitriangulations and rigidity](#page-47-0) oppopulations and rigidity oppopulations and rigidity oppopulations and rigidity oppopulations and rigidity oppopulations and rigidity

Status of Conjecture 2

- \bullet It holds for $k = 2$ (Pilaud-S., 2009).
- In all cases where Conjecture 1 is known, Conjecture 2 is known too.
- For every $k > 3$ and $n > 2k + 3$ there is a **p** along the moment curve that is not valid: it makes some *k*-triangulation dependent. (Crespo-S. 2023+).

[Multitriangulations](#page-1-0) **Exercise Constructions [Rigidity](#page-31-0)** [Multitriangulations and rigidity](#page-47-0) oppopulations and rigidity oppopulations and rigidity oppopulations and rigidity oppopulations and rigidity oppopulations and rigidity

Status of Conjecture 2

- \bullet It holds for $k = 2$ (Pilaud-S., 2009).
- In all cases where Conjecture 1 is known, Conjecture 2 is known too.
- For every $k > 3$ and $n > 2k + 3$ there is a **p** along the moment curve that is not valid: it makes some *k*-triangulation dependent. (Crespo-S. 2023+).

[Multitriangulations](#page-1-0) **Exercise Constructions [Rigidity](#page-31-0)** [Multitriangulations and rigidity](#page-47-0) oppopulations and rigidity oppopulations and rigidity oppopulations and rigidity oppopulations and rigidity oppopulations and rigidity

Status of Conjecture 2

- \bullet It holds for $k = 2$ (Pilaud-S., 2009).
- In all cases where Conjecture 1 is known, Conjecture 2 is known too.
- For every $k > 3$ and $n > 2k + 3$ there is a **p** along the moment curve that is not valid: it makes some *k*-triangulation dependent. (Crespo-S. 2023+).

Two alternative forms of rigidity

As before, let $\mathbf{p} = \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be points, and consider the following modified rigidity matrix:

Kalai's hyperconnectivity matrix / matroid

Two alternative forms of rigidity

Let now $\mathbf{q} = \{ (x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n) \} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ be points, choose a "degree" $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and consider the following modified rigidity matrix:

$$
C_d(\mathbf{q}) := \begin{pmatrix} c_{1,2} & -c_{1,2} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ c_{1,3} & 0 & -c_{1,3} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ c_{n,2} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -c_{1,n} \\ 0 & c_{2,3} & -c_{2,3} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & c_{n-1,n} & -c_{n-1,n} \end{pmatrix},
$$

with
$$
c_{ij} := (x_{ij}^{d-1}, y_{ij}x_{ij}^{d-2}, \dots, y_{ij}^{d-1}), \quad x_{ij} = x_i - x_j, \quad y_{ij} = y_i - y_j.
$$

Whiteley's cofactor matrix / matroid

Two alternative forms of rigidity

Theorem (Kalai 1985, Whitely 1990)

For **p** *or* **q** *in general position, the (row vectors of) matrices H*(**p**) *and* C_d (**q**) *share the following properties with R(***p**)*:*

Their rank equals
$$
nd - {d+1 \choose 2}
$$
.

$$
• Every K_{d+2} is a circuit.
$$

Matroids in $\binom{[n]}{2}$ with these properties are precisely the abstract rigidity matroids of Graver 1991 (as proved by Nguyen 2010).

An important common case; the moment curve

Let $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ be real parameters, and consider the configurations $\mathbf{p}(t) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $p_i = (t_1, \ldots, t_i^d)$ along the moment *curve* and **q**(*t*) ⊂ \mathbb{R}^2 with $q_i = (t_1, t_i^2)$ along the parabola. Then

Theorem (Crespo-Santos 2023)

The matrices R(**p**(*t*))*, H*(**p**(*t*)) *and C^d* (**q**(*t*)) *are equivalent under multiplication on the left by a nonsingular matrix. In particular, the associated oriented matroids coincide.*

We denote this common (oriented) matroid $P_d(t)$, and call $P_d(n)$ the generic one.

Conjecture 2' (Stronger than Conjecture 2)

k-triangulations of the *n*-gon are bases in $\mathcal{P}_{2k}(n)$.

Status: same as Conjecture 2 (Crespo-S. 2023+).

[Multitriangulations](#page-1-0) **Example 2008 [Rigidity](#page-31-0) Rigidity** [Multitriangulations and rigidity](#page-47-0)
 Rigidity Multitriangulations and rigidity
 Rigidity Rigidity Rigidity Rigidity Rigidity Rigidity Rigidity Rigidity

A bright idea

Cofactor rigidity of degree *d* shares most of the properties of bar-and-joint rigidity in dimension *d*, yet it is about points in the plane.

Maybe this is the right tool to embed the multiassociahedron.

Conjecture 3 (S., \simeq 2021)

For every choice of points $\mathbf{q} = \{q_1, \ldots, q_n\}$ in convex position, the rows of $C_{2k}(\mathbf{q})$ embed $\overline{Asso}_k(n)$ as a polytopal fan.

[Multitriangulations](#page-1-0) **Example 2008 [Rigidity](#page-31-0) Rigidity** [Multitriangulations and rigidity](#page-47-0)
 Rigidity Multitriangulations and rigidity
 Rigidity Rigidity Rigidity Rigidity Rigidity Rigidity Rigidity Rigidity

A bright idea

Cofactor rigidity of degree *d* shares most of the properties of bar-and-joint rigidity in dimension *d*, yet it is about points in the plane.

Maybe this is the right tool to embed the multiassociahedron.

Conjecture 3 (S., \simeq 2021)

For every choice of points $\mathbf{q} = \{q_1, \ldots, q_n\}$ in convex position, the rows of $C_{2k}(\mathbf{q})$ embed $\overline{Asso}_k(n)$ as a polytopal fan.

Status of Conjecture 3:

 \bullet True for $k = 1$ (Rote-S.-Streinu 2003)

• FALSE for $k = 3, n > 9$ (Crespo-S., 2023+)

[Multitriangulations](#page-1-0) **Exercise Studies And The Control Control**

A bright idea

Cofactor rigidity of degree *d* shares most of the properties of bar-and-joint rigidity in dimension *d*, yet it is about points in the plane.

Maybe this is the right tool to embed the multiassociahedron.

Conjecture 3

For every choice of points $\mathbf{q} = \{q_1, \ldots, q_n\}$ in convex position, the rows of $C_{2k}(\mathbf{q})$ embed $\overline{Asso}_k(n)$ as a polytopal fan.

Status of Conjecture 3:

- \bullet True for $k = 1$ (Rote-S.-Streinu 2003)
- FALSE for $k = 3, n > 9$ (Crespo-S., 2023+)

[Multitriangulations](#page-1-0) **Exercise Studies And The Control Control**

A bright idea

Cofactor rigidity of degree *d* shares most of the properties of bar-and-joint rigidity in dimension *d*, yet it is about points in the plane.

Maybe this is the right tool to embed the multiassociahedron.

Conjecture 3'

For some choice of points $\mathbf{q} = \{q_1, \ldots, q_n\}$ in convex position, the rows of $C_{2k}(\mathbf{q})$ embed $\overline{Asso}_k(n)$ as a polytopal fan.

Status of Conjecture 3':

- \bullet True for $k = 1$ (Rote-S.-Streinu 2003)
- FALSE for $k = 3, n > 12$ (Crespo-S., 2023+)

Multitriangulations and Rigidity

Polytopality via vector configurations

Our heuristics for politopality: Given a simplicial (*d* − 1)-sphere ∆ with vertex set $[n]$ and a vector configuration $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ we check three things (each stronger than the previous one):

- 1 Are all faces of ∆ linearly independent in *V*? (compute ranks)
- 2 Is ∆ a "triangulation of *V*" (a.k.a. simplicial fan)? (compute orientations)
- 3 Is ∆ a "regular triangulation of *V*" (a.k.a. projective fan; a.k.a. the normal fan of a simplicial polytope)? (linear feasibility)

If successful, these three computations answer Conjectures 2, 1' and 1 in the positive, respectively.

Our experiments

We have implemented this with $\Delta = \overline{Asso}_k(n)$ and with $V =$ "rows of the cofactor matrix of *n* points along the parabola" (equivalently, "bar-and-joint with points along the moment curve").

There are two "natural" choices of points:

- Equispaced along the parabola: $t_i = i$, that is, $q_i = (i, i^2)$
- Equispaced along the circle: Vertices of a regular *n*-gon, sent to the parabola via projective transformation

(Remark: projective transformations preserve the three forms of rigidity).

Our experiments; $k = 2$

- \bullet With $k = 2$ all positions we have tried realize the complete fan, but not always the polytope. (We have been able to compute up to $n = 13$).
- **Equispaced positions along the parabola give a polytopal fan for** $n < 9$.
- Positions $t = (2, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 20)$ give a polytopal fan for $n = 10$.
- We have not found positions giving a polytopal fan for *n* > 10 (but our experiments are not conclusive).

Conjecture 3" (S.-Crespo 2023)

For $k = 2$ and any *n*, all positions along the parabola / moment curve realize $\overline{Assoc}_2(n)$ as a complete simplicial fan.

Our experiments; *k* > 2

- With $k = 3$ and $n \geq 9$ there are positions where some *k*-triangulations are not bases.
- With $k = 3$ and $n \leq 11$ (and $k = 4$ and $n \leq 13$) equispaced positions on the circle realize the fan.
- With $k = 3$ and $n \leq 10$ the positions $t = (2, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 20)$ realize the polytope.
- With $k = 3$ and $n > 12$ (and $k > 3$ and $n > 2k + 6$) no positions realize the fan.

[Multitriangulations](#page-1-0) **[Multitriangulations and rigidity](#page-47-0)** [Rigidity](#page-31-0) **Rigidity Rigidity**

Multitriang**ulations and rigidity**

Multitriangulations and rigidity

Multitriangulations and rigidity

An obstruction

The last point is not an experiment, but a theorem:

Theorem (Crespo-S. 2023)

For any choice $\mathbf{q} = \{q_1, \ldots, q_{12}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ *of points in convex position there is a* 3*-triangulation that does not get the right orientation as a cone in the row-vectors of cofactor rigidity* $C_3(q)$ *.*

[Multitriangulations](#page-1-0) **[Multitriangulations and rigidity](#page-47-0)** [Rigidity](#page-31-0) **Rigidity Rigidity Rig**

Idea of proof

- Let $T_9 := K_9 \setminus \{ 16, 37, 49 \}$. It is a 3-triangulation, and is also a triple cone over the graph of an octahedron.
- \bullet The graph of an octahedron is a circuit or a basis or in $C_3(6)$ depending on whether the three missing edges are concurrent or not ("Morgan-Scott obstruction", 1975).
- When they are not concurrent, their sign as a rigidity basis is determined by the orientation of the triangle they form.
- Rigidity (both cofactor and bar-and-joint) behaves well with coning.

Idea of proof

Corollary

*T*⁹ gets the correct orientation on nine given points if, and only if, the "inner half-planes" defined by the three missing edges 16, 37, and 49 have non-empty intersection.

Idea of proof

Corollary

For any 12 points $\mathbf{q} = \{q_1, \ldots, q_{12}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ in convex position either the 3 triangulation containing T_9 on **q** \setminus { q_2 , q_6 , q_{10} } or the one on on **q** $\{q_4, q_8, q_{12}\}$ gets the wrong orientation.

Summing up

- Rigidity seemed a bright idea to realize the multiassociahedron. . . but it is proven not to work.
- Maybe the polytopality conjecture is false . . . This would be the first (?) family of "naturally defined" shellable simplicial spheres that turn out not to be polytopal.
- \bullet The case $k = 2$ of the polytopality conjecture may still be true.

Summing up

• Rigidity seemed a bright idea to realize the multiassociahedron. . . but it is proven not to work.

Maybe the polytopality conjecture is false . . . This would be the first (?) family of "naturally defined" shellable simplicial spheres that turn out not to be polytopal.

 \bullet The case $k = 2$ of the polytopality conjecture may still be true.

Summing up

- Rigidity seemed a bright idea to realize the multiassociahedron. . . but it is proven not to work.
- **Maybe the polytopality conjecture is false ...** This would be the first (?) family of "naturally defined" shellable simplicial spheres that turn out not to be polytopal.
- \bullet The case $k = 2$ of the polytopality conjecture may still be true.

Summing up

- Rigidity seemed a bright idea to realize the multiassociahedron. . . but it is proven not to work.
- Maybe the polytopality conjecture is false . . . This would be the first (?) family of "naturally defined" shellable simplicial spheres that turn out not to be polytopal.
- \bullet The case $k = 2$ of the polytopality conjecture may still be true.

Summing up

- Rigidity seemed a bright idea to realize the multiassociahedron. . . but it is proven not to work.
- Maybe the polytopality conjecture is false . . . This would be the first (?) family of "naturally defined" shellable simplicial spheres that turn out not to be polytopal.
- \bullet The case $k = 2$ of the polytopality conjecture may still be true.

Summing up

- Rigidity seemed a bright idea to realize the multiassociahedron. . . but it is proven not to work.
- Maybe the polytopality conjecture is false . . . This would be the first (?) family of "naturally defined" shellable simplicial spheres that turn out not to be polytopal.
- \bullet The case $k = 2$ of the polytopality conjecture may still be true.

A computational challenge

 $Is Asso₃(12)$ polytopal? (42 vertices, 379 236 facets, dimension 14)

The end

Thank you